

## April 26, 2006: Everett Statement at Mark-Up for HR 5122, National Defense Authorization Act

HOUSE ARMED SERVICES SUBCOMMITTEE ON STRATEGIC FORCES

TERRY EVERETT, ALABAMA

CHAIRMAN

PRESS RELEASE

Opening Statement of Terry Everett

Strategic Forces Subcommittee Markup of H.R. 5122, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 The Strategic Forces Subcommittee meets today in open session to markup H.R. 5122, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007.

It has been a pleasure working with my good friend and ranking member, Mr. Reyes, to build this mark. He has been very cooperative and straightforward: two attributes critical to our success. I would also like to thank the other members of the subcommittee and their staffs for their hard work toward making this a quality product, and in particular the committee staff: Bill Ostendorff, Bob DeGrasse, Lynn Williams, Eryn Robinson and Katherine Croft in putting this mark together.

The subcommittee's mark includes approximately \$51.1 billion in programs within the budget request, including:

- \$7.9 billion for procurement,
- \$29.1 billion for research and development,
- \$14.1 billion for Department of Energy national security programs.

The programs fit primarily in the areas of ballistic missile defense, the military use of space, strategic weapon systems and platforms and nuclear weapons.

The subcommittee is concerned with striking the right balance between technical risks and providing increased capability to the warfighter. Accordingly, the mark shifts funds to programs with a more near-term capability for the warfighter and makes reductions to several programs that are less mature.

The subcommittee mark is \$142 million below the budget request. The subcommittee received 154 separate member requests for adds totaling just over \$1.3 billion. The mark accommodates 25 of them with just over \$492 million in adds.

The recommendation before you funds the Missile Defense Agency at \$183.5 million below the budget request.

Highlights of the missile defense mark include:

- A \$20 million increase for concurrent test and operations resources for the ground-based midcourse defense system;
- An additional \$40 million for the Aegis BMD system including \$20 million for additional SM-3 interceptors;
- A \$100 million reduction to the Kinetic Energy Interceptor program; • A \$55.8 million reduction for funding for the third site activation for GMD;
- A \$65 million reduction to the Multiple Kill Vehicle program; and
- A \$40.7 million reduction to the High Altitude Airship technology demonstration.

Also, I am very pleased that we were able to assist the Army with one of their key unfunded requirements by adding \$140 million for transitioning Patriot missile batteries from the PAC-2 to the PAC-3 configuration.

In the area of military space, the mark recommends an \$80 million reduction for TSAT, and a \$30 million reduction for the Space Radar program. The committee supports both programs but has concerns with whether the fiscal year 2007 budgets were executable. The mark adds \$20 million for operationally responsive space. The mark also includes a legislative provision that would:

- Establish an Office of Operationally Responsive Space under the Department of Defense Executive Agent for Space. The provision also sets forth the mission for this office: to contribute to the development of low-cost rapid reaction payloads to fulfill joint military operational requirements.

In the area of strategic and intelligence programs, the mark includes legislative provisions that would:

- Prohibit retirement of the U-2 aircraft in Fiscal Year 2007 and in any subsequent year unless the Department of Defense (DoD) certifies to Congress that the U-2 ISR capability is not required to mitigate any of the ISR gaps identified in the 2006 QDR;
- Add the Commander of STRATCOM to the Nuclear Weapons Council;
- Increase the authorized number of Defense Intelligence Senior Executive Service personnel by 50.

The mark also reduces funding for the conventional Trident modification. The committee is confident in the ability of the Navy to perform this mission. However, the committee has concerns that all the details have not yet been worked out to ensure that a conventional missile launch from a Trident submarine is not misinterpreted as a nuclear attack by other countries.

Within Atomic Energy Defense Activities of the Department of Energy, the mark funds the Department at the budget request. Highlights of the Department of Energy mark include:

- A provision that requires the Secretary of Energy and Secretary of Defense to submit a plan to Congress for the transformation of the nuclear weapons complex;
- A provision directing the National Academy of Sciences to conduct a study of the methods used by NNSA to certify the nuclear stockpile;

- Provisions that allow the Secretary of Energy to receive international funding for two defense nuclear nonproliferation programs: the Global Threat Reduction Initiative and the Second Line of Defense program;

- A reduction to the Mixed Oxide Fuel, or MOX, plutonium disposition program. The subcommittee is concerned that the Russian government is not committed to funding construction of the Russian plutonium disposition facility. The mark also adds funds to other nonproliferation programs; and

- The mark also adds \$20 million to environmental cleanup activities for R&D efforts leading to better techniques for dealing with tank wastes.

I remind the subcommittee that any amendments relating to Department of Energy nonproliferation programs will be addressed at the full committee markup. I believe that the mark before you addresses member priorities and both Department of Defense and Department of Energy requirements. I strongly recommend its adoption.